

D9444

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

7. (NO CHANGE) The method of claim 4 further comprising mitigating said ERGD disturbances by ignoring ES data while said satellite's scan passes over said areas, and using DIRA's for pitch and roll positioning during said passage over said areas

**REMARKS**

Applicants and their counsel wish to express their thanks to Examiner Dinh for his courtesy and frankness during the telephone interview on September 20, 2004.

Applicants here amend Claims 1, 2 and 4 to overcome the PTO's rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2).

Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under Section 103 for alleged obviousness. None of the cited patents discloses the existence, or adverse consequences, of ERGD events referred to in each of Applicants' claims. None of the cited patents disclose or suggest mitigating ERGD events in any way, let alone with the means and methods that Applicants claim.

For example, Claim 1 calls for systems that mitigate ERGD events. This system includes means for biasing the infrared sensors' earth scans away from the ERGD areas on the earth to mitigate these events. None of the cited references discloses or suggests any such means for this purpose.

/

Patrick T. Anglin, et al.  
Appl. No. 10/627,534  
October 5, 2004

3

D9444

Claims 2 and 3 call for systems that mitigate ERGD events by inhibiting at least one of a satellite's infrared sensors' scans while the satellite carrying the system is passing over ERGD areas on the earth. None of the cited references discloses or suggests any such means for this purpose.

Nor does any cited reference disclose or suggest any methods for mitigating disturbances in the roll and pitch of an earth satellite in an inclined, elliptical orbit, where the disturbances arise from ERGD events in earth areas that a satellite's infrared sensors' scan traverses. The claimed methods of Applicants' Claims 4 – 7 include the steps of determining where the ERGD areas are located, and then mitigating the effects of ERGD events in these areas.

The cited references do not disclose or suggest the existence of ERGD events, or ERGD areas, and do not disclose or suggest that such events adversely affect satellites traversing areas where these events occur. None of the cited references disclose means or methods for overcoming the effects of ERGD events in ERGD areas. During the interview, Examiner Dinh agreed that the cited Leung patent does not disclose or suggest adding yaw biasing or DIRA's to infrared earth sensors on any satellites for mitigating ERGD events while such satellites traverse ERGD areas.

Nor does either the cited Fallon patent or the cited Lievre patents disclose any need or reason to mitigate ERGD events. Applicant's claims all call for inhibiting one or more of the satellite-borne infrared earth sensors in ERGD areas, using yaw biasing or DIRAs while inhibiting one or more infrared sensors,


D9444

and then turning off the DIRA or yaw biasing after the satellite leaves ERGD areas. Outside such areas, only the infrared sensors are active. Applicants' systems and methods inhibit one or more of a satellite's infrared earth sensors only while the satellite traverses ERGD areas, to mitigate the effects of ERGD events in such areas. After the satellite passes out of ERGD areas, the satellite again relies upon its plurality of infrared earth sensors, without yaw biasing or DIRAs.

Applicants' counsel would welcome a telephone conference with Examiner Dinh to discuss any new or other issue at any time.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 5, 2004



Patrick F. Bright,  
BRIGHT & LORIG, P.C.  
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 3330  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Tel: (213) 627-7774  
Fax: (213) 627-8508  
Atty. Reg. No. 24,318

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**